



Waiting Period and Parental Notification Before Termination of Minor's Pregnancy.

VOTE NO

If approved, Proposition 85 would require notification given to parents of a pregnant girl under the age of 18 when she seeks an abortion. Then, a 48-hour waiting period is mandated.

Of course, good parents should be involved in a decision like this, but in reality, this law forces girls who are scared of abuse to inform their parents of their pregnancy. Unfortunately, some young women come from families where they just can't talk to their parents. Girls faced with the choice of an illegal, unsafe abortion or facing their abusive parents will often delay crucial medical care or perhaps even consider suicide. The real goal here isn't good parenting but rather a rollback of women's rights.



Tax on Cigarettes. Initiative Constitutional Amendment and Statute.

VOTE YES

Will raise state cigarette tax \$2.60 a pack and is projected to raise about \$2.1 billion in 2007 to fund health insurance for uninsured kids, improved emergency care, tobacco prevention programs, and chronic disease research. Proponents have seen the benefits of taxing cigarettes in California and in other states with reduced smoking rates, reduced unpaid healthcare costs (for uninsured smokers) and new revenue for health related programs.



Alternative Energy. Research, Production, Incentives. Tax on California Oil Producers. Initiative Constitutional Amendment and Statute.

VOTE YES

Right now, Big Oil pays California almost nothing to drill in our state, while they pay billions of dollars in drilling fees to every other oil producing state. Prop 87 will set California's oil drilling fees to 1.5 to 6% (depending on the price of oil per barrel) which is at levels similar to those in Oklahoma, Alaska, and Texas. The revenue raised (\$4 billion over 10 years) will go towards research and producing alternative fuels and energy.



Political Campaigns. Public Financing. Corporate Tax Increase. Campaign Contribution and Expenditure Limits. Initiative Statute.

VOTE YES

If enacted, Proposition 89 would reduce the influence of lobbyists and special interests in California. This is why it's often called the "clean money" act. Currently, lobbyists and corporations can donate "dirty" money to the campaign funds of their favorite candidates. Prop 89 would restrict the ability of special interests to donate to campaigns, and would provide public financing for qualified "clean money" candidates.



Government Acquisition, Regulation of Private Property. Initiative Constitutional Amendment.

VOTE NO

This is as drastic a measure as they come. Billed as "eminent domain" reform, this initiative would eliminate land use and other good government regulations to forward an anti-government agenda by the out of state extremists who put it on our ballot. But 90 isn't just limited to development. These laws and rules could include requirements relating, for example, to employment conditions, apartment prices, endangered species, historical preservation and consumer financial protection.

What Prop 90 does is allow virtually anyone to sue our communities if any subsequent ordinances put on the books might cause them "economic harm." The results would be mind boggling. Lawsuits could be waged by restaurant owners for having to pay minimum wage, by apartment owners for having to abide by rent stabilization, by banks for having to limit ATM fees, by nightclub owners for having to adhere to noise regulations.

This is a nightmare proposition, particularly feared the environmental community. Please do what you can to make sure this measure is defeated.